UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,362	11/17/2006	Stefan Golz	004974.01110	2007
22907 BANNER & W	7590 03/31/200 ITCOFF, LTD.	EXAMINER		
1100 13th STREET, N.W.			MOORE, WILLIAM W	
SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/31/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/575,362	GOLZ ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	WILLIAM W. MOORE	1656		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A 2a) ■ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 and 21-23 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 and 21-23 are subject to restriction	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See iion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/575,362 Page 2

Art Unit: 1656

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-18 and 21-23 are pending in the application.

Applicant's preliminary amendment to the claims, filed on 4/11/06, is acknowledged. This listing of the claims replaces all prior versions and listings of the claims.

## Restriction

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. §§ 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Group 1, claims 1 and 4-11, drawn to the special technical feature of a method of screening for a therapeutic agent by detecting binding of a test compound to a N-acetylated alpha-linked, acidic dipeptidase 2 [NAALADASE 2] polypeptide.
- Group 2, claims 2-3, drawn to the special technical feature of a method of screening for a therapeutic agent by measuring a difference in activity of a NAALADASE 2 polypeptide.
- Group 3, claims 12-17, drawn to the special technical feature of a method of screening for a therapeutic agent by detecting binding of a test compound to a polynucleotide encoding a NAALADASE 2 polypeptide.
- Group 4, claim 18, drawn to the special technical feature of a method of disease diagnosis by comparing the amount of a polynucleotide encoding a NAALADASE 2 polypeptide present in a sample from a candidate mammal with reference values determined with healthy and diseased animals.
- Group 5, claim 21, drawn in part to, and claim 22 drawn more particularly to, the special technical feature of a composition comprising a generic small molecule, which may be an RNA molecule, an antisense oligonucleotide, a ribozyme, or an NAALADASE 2 polynucleotide.
- Group 6, claim 21, drawn in part to, and claim 23 drawn more particularly to, the special technical feature of a composition comprising a generic small molecule, which may be a polypeptide other than an antibody, such as a NAALADASE 2 polypeptide.
- Group 7, claim 21, drawn in part to the special technical feature of a composition comprising a generic small molecule, which may be an antibody.

The inventions lack unity, each from the other, because of the following reasons:

Art Unit: 1656

According to PCT Rule 13.2 unity of invention exists only when the shared same or corresponding special technical feature is a contribution over the prior art. The inventions of Groups 1 to 7 do not relate to a single general inventive concept because they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature. According to the corresponding international search report filed in this application on 4/11/06, this technical feature is shown by Pangalos et al. (WO 00/04157; cited in the IDS filed on 11/17/06) to lack novelty or inventive step because the reference of Pangalos et al. teaches an NAALADASE II polypeptide (p. 2, line 27-29) and screening methods in accordance with the claims (e.g., pp. 8-9). As such, this technical feature is not a contribution over the prior art.

Page 3

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof. Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/575,362 Page 4

Art Unit: 1656

## Conclusion

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William W. Moore whose telephone number is 571.272.0933 and whose FAX number is 571.273.0933. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 9:00AM and 5:30PM EST. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisory Primary Examiner, Jon P. Weber, can be reached at 571.272.0925. The official FAX number for all communications for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571.273.8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571.272.1600.

/William W. Moore/ Examiner, Art Unit 1656

/David J. Steadman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1656